

It helps to reinstate integrity in public and private domains. If you can gain some relief for yourself and other either within or outside of the judicial system and help contain or even reduce the number of overbearing public servants, within the legal, judicial or public service. There are about 20 points of pressure you can apply to make a public official or whoever has wronged you, straighten up and fly right and formal complaints is one of them. It's said if we don't make a formal complaint in the appropriate circumstances, when someone has broken a contract or trespassed against you, your property or person, or upset you, then we have no genuine bona fide complaint. (Similarly we ought not complain if we do nothing about the cause of the complaint!)

Re: The current easing of the lockdown in Australia, Formal Complaints in large numbers could not only accelerate but prevent recurrence of such draconian, inappropriate and tyrannical measures.

Formal Complaints is one of several major tools to hold delinquent, negligent, parties accountable for their misbehaviour and/or inappropriate behaviour & action.

The complaint is only as good as the document drawn up and the evidence you have to back it up. Have an affidavit with the evidence as exhibits.

Cite any offences eg threats "I'll hold you for contempt" is a threat.

Assault, breach of the peace, trespass, kidnap, robbery, attempted genocide etc

It's very important people do complaints against parties that are doing the wrong thing.

Legislation, Acts or sections of Acts can be used. When there is a criminal matter you can refer to the Crimes Act, Criminal Code Act.

Regions may also have legislation that deals with crimes as well, copies of contracts, photos, video footage, recordings, diary entries, if dealing with a nurse or doctor have look at the NZMA website they have a formal complaint for the medical industry, if you can't just borrow another industries complaint form and use the format, make sure it looks professional. Councils and Police have formal complaint forms, the legal profession have complaint forms either from the Attorney General's or Governor General's office or maybe from law firms every industry has its own complaints process you can download (copy it to a usb) all brought into the proceedings as an exhibit by way of an affidavit and suddenly you have an administrative judge on your side when they see what really happened.

We can use the sources of their alleged authority to illustrate certain

things in our favour and also any abuses of their office, authority and/or codes of conduct.

Show how they have breached a code of ethics or conduct. Universal common features require them to be open, honest, fair, transparent and accountable.

It's very useful to have witnesses that have some knowledge of your matter, however your own affidavit with supporting evidence is powerful enough.

File and serve it yourself but you can use some one else too if you want to, registered mail or in person. If it is a criminal matter it is often very useful to go to the police of the jurisdiction it happened in and ask them to take a complaint (they'll have to investigate it which means they make money out of it) This can also save you a lot of time.

If you are going to complain about embezzlement or something similar, give notice that if the money is not returned you will take it to the police, once the police start an investigation they won't stop, they are like bloodhounds and you will likely end up in gaol.

Direct the complaint to their immediate superior, who will put pressure on them, they'll either draw them aside or sidestep them into a different position, they could be demoted or sacked.

State bar Association for lawyers or Law Society. CEO of the Law Society

Police Minister, Officer in Charge at the police station

Medical Disciplinary Council

Financial Ombudsman Office of Fair Trading ASAC APRA

Do a search on the industry relative to any Ministers that may be overseeing etc.

Look for their code of ethics and codes of ethics and use that to prove they have breached the terms.

Look for the words accountable transparent equitable fair honest and highlight them

You can have good results with one complaint but if there are three complaints about the same person from different sources and they are competent affidavits with supporting evidence; this usually terminates the career of the individual complained about. This has happened to police and judges.

You can send a complaint to the indemnity insurer of the offender. Every industry must have an indemnity insurer, because if some one in the public does the wrong thing repeatedly and gets sued it can get very costly for the insurer or the organisation if they lose the indemnity insurance. A complaint raises an alarm bell, and they

will assess that individual's risk of committing further breaches or offences and thereby receiving further complaints.

You can write to the Ombudsman to create an estoppel

In Queensland 2 drs conspired to put 100s of people into the elderly institutions against their will, some one was rescued via formal complaint to the AMA about the 2 drs, there was a conspiracy going on to get one member of each partner into the institution so they could fleece the other partner of up to \$2,000 per week and when the money ran out they would get them to draw down the equity against their property and when there is no equity they sell the house on the other partner, that's common business practice. The AMA wrote back and said they had already appointed some one to investigate the matter and they just required some further information. They managed to get their loved one out of the home and back into their care and were happy with that outcome.

13 horses were taken off a property by appointed officers of the RSPCA. They were looking for business because they were borderline insolvent. The RSPCA were looking for \$410,000 in fines a 3 year gaol term a 3 year ban on owning horses and a criminal record, and had dragged the owners through court at a cost of around \$200,000. This was turned around in a standard hearing and he got away with a slap on the hand and a small fine. The RSPCA were moving the horses all over the country and the owners didn't know where they were, one horse died and that helped turn the case around. They got 7 of their horses back within three days, the other 6 they never got back. They were bought from the knackery 12 months earlier and restored their condition at their own expense but they were old horses and the RSPCA alleged negligence. Fortunately they had rehabilitated them on the site of a farm at the time, so the first thing we did was get a report from the owner and he explained that this young fella was among the best carers he had ever seen, you should have seen the magistrate's eyes roll, when you put evidence to them. A formal complaint was made to the CEO of the RSPCA and drew up letters for the victim that were emailed off, and I gave the farmer as a witness and a support team counsel, very official sounding. The results of the complaint was that two senior officers were demoted to just officers and 7 horses were returned within 3 days because our documents said the horses were stolen without the consent of the owner and he was charging them at \$1000 per head per day which amounted to \$1.3 million dollars and the demand for payment would commence within 72 hours unless the horses were all returned. They couldn't give back one horse because they had sold it and they

couldn't give back another horse in the group of 6 old ones because it was dead in their care so that augered well for the affidavit and complaint that was prepared. Just using leverage.

A complaint was made to the Crimes and Misconduct Commission about some delinquent Police officers, well that was like trying to stop Dracula from putting his fangs into the Blood Bank. It didn't go anywhere the police were claiming not to have broken any laws. That's about the only time in all the times I've used a formal complaint where I didn't get a positive outcome.

Two judges in Sydney were moved under judicial review because each had three formal complaints filed against them from separate cases. If you want the complaints to be more effective maybe you can enlist help.

I just came out of a hearing where there was a bad judgement so I said to my Sydney data base when I got home, anybody there want to spend a day in court and gather some names of judges who've just made some poor judgements. Get some one to go in that day and then maybe some one a month later so there is no collusion and then each man/woman make a formal complaint drafted somewhat differently against the judge and they'll go before a judicial review and they'll be terminated.

The same with police. One fellow at a workshop said "I had a rotten police officer he beat me up and robbed me" another attendee said "I know that guy he did it to me too" and then a third one got up and said the same thing. I said why don't you three get together and each do a complaint a month apart so there is no collusion and then get rid of that guy, get him out of the force.

These documents work.

A character had 36 court appearances and couldn't close the matter, so a decision was made to put formal complaints in against the five magistrates in one court and another magistrate from another court. Then a matter came before them and they said "We can't hear it now some documents have come before us and we are considered now to have judicial bias and we can't hear the matter because we know the prosecutor" That was his cover. Of course they know the prosecutor they work with him every day of the week but anyway. What happened is the Chief Magistrate from Brisbane came down from the Gold Coast and he settled the matter very quickly, he made some offers there were some

road blocks but generally he was very accommodating, so the formal complaint in the background were targeting the Attorney General, the Queensland Police Minister because the defendant had been assaulted by police in court and there were four witnesses. What was going on was all these parties Queensland Police Commissioner, the President of the Magistrate's Court, the Chief Magistrate for Queensland, they were all noticed so they would have been ringing one another up going "What the heck's going on, you can't settle a matter of a few charges for thirty six appearances, what are you trying to do?" So pressure on the Magistrates.

Three brothers said their mother had been institutionalised in Sydney for the second time and the first time she had an oedema because she kept getting ect treatment, and they were going to do it again, that can kill you and stop your heart. Over two days we drew up three formal complaint documents, the sons served them on Monday and she was out Tuesday. The drs didn't want to take liability for genocide. Those are the words we use, genocide, murder and slaughter they don't like having fingers pointed at them.

At a workshop for 5 thousand people movement against gas fracking. They went to work and were writing formal complaints and affidavits and the complaints contributed to a quick closure of the matter.

Identify who the parties are

John Henry Smith ("I" Me" or "my") is a dead entity and has to be because only the dead can communicate with the dead.

Please find the attached a formal complaint by police officers such and such (send to half a dozen parties)

A magistrate said "I am ruling the defendant is not here" and issued a warrant for the arrest of the defendant then he ran out of the court so did everyone else except for the defendant and four witnesses and then the sergeant came up over and lifted the defendant up from behind and threw him to the ground. That is aggravated assault and he used brute force with menace. It was assault it was a trespass, he breached his authority of office and the newspapers published "XYZ arrested in court for failing to appear" So all that was used as evidence against them and that helped stop the proceeding.

Notice of Formal Complaint and a Protest for the treatment.

I am of legal age competent to testify

I the man commonly addressed by the name

By first hand knowledge

Source document as an exhibit establishes jurisdiction

No Consent no contract

You will be tested three times, any more and you can ask Your Honour

wasn't Peter only tested three times before the cock crowed?

Marry legislation with the acts of crime they commit

Your adversary needs to rebut any claims made by you, if you said they are thugs it must be rebutted.

Parties targeted for RSPVCA matter Senior Inspector , Lien debtor another senior inspector, the entity RSPCA, the Attorney General's Office, the CEO with verified plain statement of facts.

If one statement is wrong in an affidavit the other side can say "we deny". So they must be accurate and competent.

The system in NZ since 1984 has been operating as a foreign power so they don't abide by the legislation because they don't have to.

If you use US case law ask which NZ you are operating under the British one or the one on the US securities and exchange commission, if they don't answer after three questions you can answer for them.

If police come against you with guns you can say "Step down, you can see we are unarmed and you are coming against us with threats of menace"

When we use Acts etc we don't have to say they apply to us we use them against the perpetrators.

Are we not entitled to use their legislation against them?